

Selection Committee Evaluation Form
RFQ No. 961 - Six Flags/Jazzland Site Redevelopment
March 16, 2021

Committee Member Name: Nicole Heyman

| A Squared LLC | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <p>In the Evaluation column, Committee Members shall score each proposal on the Technical criteria and weighting factors listed below and provide an assessment of that score. A proposal may receive the maximum percentage, a portion of this score, or no percentage at all. Thereafter, Committee Members shall provide an assessment of the Respondent's price proposals before providing a Final Score.</p> | | | |
| Criteria & Weight | Criteria Description | Evaluation | Score |
| <p>Qualifications & Experience <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Qualifications, specialized experience, and track record of success on similar projects [e.g. examples of successful redevelopment projects];</p> | <p>Assessment: Difficult to calculate experience and track record of success on similar project because there was no project proposed in the response. The firm has experience in master planning. Lack of full development vision for the proposal.</p> | <p>5_____</p> |
| <p>Financial Capacity & Competence <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Financial capacity, and financial and technical competence [e.g. financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies];</p> | <p>Assessment: Financial capacity not proven. The submission did not include financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies.</p> | <p>5_____</p> |
| <p>Capacity & Demonstrated Ability <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Staff and organizational capacity, demonstrated ability including, without limitation, responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines [e.g. staff and CV/resumes assigned to project, organizational charts, work samples, percentage of time dedicated to project];</p> | <p>Assessment: Response included detailed resumes of potential organizational partners but did not provide detail to evaluate capacity to meet project goals or successes relative to responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines meeting past performance.</p> | <p>10_____</p> |
| <p>References <u>15 Points</u> Score 0-15</p> | <p>References</p> | <p>Assessment: references listed but letters of reference not provided</p> | <p>15_____</p> |
| <p>DBE <u>10 Points</u> Score 0-10</p> | <p>Past DBE Participation: Proposal submitted a quality DBE Participation Plan (DBE Form 3) that outlines past successful DBE participation on similar projects and includes innovative strategies and approaches to achieve and maintain DBE participation over the term of any formal agreements resulting from this solicitation</p> | <p>Assessment: DBE Form 3 provided as required by RFQ</p> | <p>10_____</p> |
| Final Score | | | <p>45_____</p> |

Committee Member Signature



Selection Committee Evaluation Form
RFQ No. 961 - Six Flags/Jazzland Site Redevelopment
March 16, 2021

Committee Member Name: Nicole Heyman

| Kiernan-West, LLC | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <p>In the Evaluation column, Committee Members shall score each proposal on the Technical criteria and weighting factors listed below and provide an assessment of that score. A proposal may receive the maximum percentage, a portion of this score, or no percentage at all. Thereafter, Committee Members shall provide an assessment of the Respondent's price proposals before providing a Final Score.</p> | | | |
| Criteria & Weight | Criteria Description | Evaluation | Score |
| <p>Qualifications & Experience <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Qualifications, specialized experience, and track record of success on similar projects [e.g. examples of successful redevelopment projects];</p> | <p>Assessment: Kiernan-West has specialized experience and rerecord of success on specific aspects of the proposed project, specifically the development of logistics facilities.</p> | <p>15 _____</p> |
| <p>Financial Capacity & Competence <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Financial capacity, and financial and technical competence [e.g. financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies];</p> | <p>Assessment: Financial competency addressed.</p> | <p>20 _____</p> |
| <p>Capacity & Demonstrated Ability <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Staff and organizational capacity, demonstrated ability including, without limitation, responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines [e.g. staff and CV/resumes assigned to project, organizational charts, work samples, percentage of time dedicated to project];</p> | <p>Assessment: submission demonstrates organizational capacity related to development, financing, and construction of logistics facility.</p> | <p>20 _____</p> |
| <p>References <u>15 Points</u> Score 0-15</p> | <p>References</p> | <p>Assessment: References provided</p> | <p>15 _____</p> |
| <p>DBE <u>10 Points</u> Score 0-10</p> | <p>Past DBE Participation: Proposal submitted a quality DBE Participation Plan (DBE Form 3) that outlines past successful DBE participation on similar projects and includes innovative strategies and approaches to achieve and maintain DBE participation over the term of any formal agreements resulting from this solicitation</p> | <p>Assessment: DBE Form 3 provided as required by the RFQ</p> | <p>10 _____</p> |
| Final Score | | | <p>80 _____</p> |

Committee Member Signature



Selection Committee Evaluation Form
RFQ No. 961 - Six Flags/Jazzland Site Redevelopment
March 16, 2021

Committee Member Name: Nicole Heyman

Situs Development Collective LLC

In the Evaluation column, Committee Members shall score each proposal on the Technical criteria and weighting factors listed below and provide an assessment of that score. A proposal may receive the maximum percentage, a portion of this score, or no percentage at all. Thereafter, Committee Members shall provide an assessment of the Respondent's price proposals before providing a Final Score.

| Criteria & Weight | Criteria Description | Evaluation | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Qualifications & Experience <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25 | Qualifications, specialized experience, and track record of success on similar projects [e.g. examples of successful redevelopment projects]; | Assessment: The team assembled has experience and track record on similar projects | 20 _____ |
| Financial Capacity & Competence <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25 | Financial capacity, and financial and technical competence [e.g. financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies]; | Assessment: Partnership with funder established but response lacks financial competence for developer. | 15 _____ |
| Capacity & Demonstrated Ability <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25 | Staff and organizational capacity, demonstrated ability including, without limitation, responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines [e.g. staff and CV/resumes assigned to project, organizational charts, work samples, percentage of time dedicated to project]; | Assessment: Demonstrated staff and organizational capacity. | 15 _____ |
| References <u>15 Points</u> Score 0-15 | References | Assessment: References provided | 15 _____ |
| DBE <u>10 Points</u> Score 0-10 | Past DBE Participation: Proposal submitted a quality DBE Participation Plan (DBE Form 3) that outlines past successful DBE participation on similar projects and includes innovative strategies and approaches to achieve and maintain DBE participation over the term of any formal agreements resulting from this solicitation | Assessment: DBE Form 3 provided as required by the RFQ | 10 _____ |
| Final Score | | | 75 _____ |

Committee Member Signature



Selection Committee Evaluation Form
RFQ No. 961 - Six Flags/Jazzland Site Redevelopment
March 16, 2021

Committee Member Name: Nicole Heyman

| TPC-NOLA, Inc. | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <p>In the Evaluation column, Committee Members shall score each proposal on the Technical criteria and weighting factors listed below and provide an assessment of that score. A proposal may receive the maximum percentage, a portion of this score, or no percentage at all. Thereafter, Committee Members shall provide an assessment of the Respondent's price proposals before providing a Final Score.</p> | | | |
| Criteria & Weight | Criteria Description | Evaluation | Score |
| <p>Qualifications & Experience <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Qualifications, specialized experience, and track record of success on similar projects [e.g. examples of successful redevelopment projects];</p> | <p>Assessment: The responding entity did not identify its "partner company" and therefore the ability to assess qualifications was limited.</p> | <p>10 _____</p> |
| <p>Financial Capacity & Competence <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Financial capacity, and financial and technical competence [e.g. financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies];</p> | <p>Assessment: The responding entity did not identify its "partner company" nor did the responding entity provide proof of their financial capacity and therefore the ability to assess financial capacity and competency was limited.</p> | <p>0 _____</p> |
| <p>Capacity & Demonstrated Ability <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Staff and organizational capacity, demonstrated ability including, without limitation, responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines [e.g. staff and CV/resumes assigned to project, organizational charts, work samples, percentage of time dedicated to project];</p> | <p>Assessment: The responding entity did not identify its "partner company" and therefore the ability to fully assess organizational capacity and qualifications was limited.</p> | <p>15 _____</p> |
| <p>References <u>15 Points</u> Score 0-15</p> | <p>References</p> | <p>Assessment: references provided were dated and not responsive to this solicitation.</p> | <p>5 _____</p> |
| <p>DBE <u>10 Points</u> Score 0-10</p> | <p>Past DBE Participation: Proposal submitted a quality DBE Participation Plan (DBE Form 3) that outlines past successful DBE participation on similar projects and includes innovative strategies and approaches to achieve and maintain DBE participation over the term of any formal agreements resulting from this solicitation</p> | <p>Assessment: DBE Form 3 provided as required by the RFQ</p> | <p>10 _____</p> |
| Final Score | | | <p>40 _____</p> |

Committee Member Signature



Selection Committee Evaluation Form
RFQ No. 961 - Six Flags/Jazzland Site Redevelopment
March 16, 2021

Committee Member Name: Nicole Heyman

| PACE Group LLC | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <p>In the Evaluation column, Committee Members shall score each proposal on the Technical criteria and weighting factors listed below and provide an assessment of that score. A proposal may receive the maximum percentage, a portion of this score, or no percentage at all. Thereafter, Committee Members shall provide an assessment of the Respondent's price proposals before providing a Final Score.</p> | | | |
| Criteria & Weight | Criteria Description | Evaluation | Score |
| <p>Qualifications & Experience <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Qualifications, specialized experience, and track record of success on similar projects [e.g. examples of successful redevelopment projects];</p> | <p>Assessment: nonresponsive</p> | <p>0 _____</p> |
| <p>Financial Capacity & Competence <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Financial capacity, and financial and technical competence [e.g. financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies];</p> | <p>Assessment: nonresponsive</p> | <p>0 _____</p> |
| <p>Capacity & Demonstrated Ability <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25</p> | <p>Staff and organizational capacity, demonstrated ability including, without limitation, responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines [e.g. staff and CV/resumes assigned to project, organizational charts, work samples, percentage of time dedicated to project];</p> | <p>Assessment: nonresponsive</p> | <p>0 _____</p> |
| <p>References <u>15 Points</u> Score 0-15</p> | <p>References</p> | <p>Assessment: None provided</p> | <p>0 _____</p> |
| <p>DBE <u>10 Points</u> Score 0-10</p> | <p>Past DBE Participation: Proposal submitted a quality DBE Participation Plan (DBE Form 3) that outlines past successful DBE participation on similar projects and includes innovative strategies and approaches to achieve and maintain DBE participation over the term of any formal agreements resulting from this solicitation</p> | <p>Assessment: DBE Form 3 provided by the RFQ</p> | <p>10 _____</p> |
| Final Score | | | <p>10 _____</p> |

Committee Member Signature



Selection Committee Evaluation Form
RFQ No. 961 - Six Flags/Jazzland Site Redevelopment
March 16, 2021

Committee Member Name: Nicole Heyman

TKTMJ Incorporated/ Henry Consulting

In the Evaluation column, Committee Members shall score each proposal on the Technical criteria and weighting factors listed below and provide an assessment of that score. A proposal may receive the maximum percentage, a portion of this score, or no percentage at all. Thereafter, Committee Members shall provide an assessment of the Respondent's price proposals before providing a Final Score.

| Criteria & Weight | Criteria Description | Evaluation | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Qualifications & Experience <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25 | Qualifications, specialized experience, and track record of success on similar projects [e.g. examples of successful redevelopment projects]; | Assessment: The team assembled has experience and track record on similar projects | 20 _____ |
| Financial Capacity & Competence <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25 | Financial capacity, and financial and technical competence [e.g. financial statements, balance sheets, other financial or technical competencies]; | Assessment: Financial capacity evidenced. | 10 _____ |
| Capacity & Demonstrated Ability <u>25 Points</u> Score 0-25 | Staff and organizational capacity, demonstrated ability including, without limitation, responsiveness to public and community goals, cost control, work quality and the ability to meet schedules and deadlines [e.g. staff and CV/resumes assigned to project, organizational charts, work samples, percentage of time dedicated to project]; | Assessment: submission demonstrates organizational capacity related to development, financing, and construction. | 15 _____ |
| References <u>15 Points</u> Score 0-15 | References | Assessment: References provided | 15 _____ |
| DBE <u>10 Points</u> Score 0-10 | Past DBE Participation: Proposal submitted a quality DBE Participation Plan (DBE Form 3) that outlines past successful DBE participation on similar projects and includes innovative strategies and approaches to achieve and maintain DBE participation over the term of any formal agreements resulting from this solicitation | Assessment: DBE Form 3 provided as required by RFQ | 10 _____ |
| Final Score | | | 70 _____ |

Committee Member Signature

